

K N Interstate Gas Transmission Co., Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

September 16, 1994.

Take notice that on September 13, 1994, K N Interstate Gas Transmission Co. (KNI) filed a Revised Tariff Filing. In this tariff filing, KNI has proposed revisions reflecting inadvertently omitted tariff language and typographical errors. In addition, after reviewing the effectiveness of the restructured tariff language since October 1, 1993, (KNI's restructuring implementation date), KNI realized that certain changes were necessary and, therefore, is proposing several substantive changes described more fully in the tariff filing. KNI states that copies of the revised tariff sheets were appended to KNI's application.

KNI states that all interested parties have been served with this filing as well as affected public bodies.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with §§ 385.21 and 385.214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before September 23, 1994. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 94-23436 Filed 9-21-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP94-367-000]

National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation; Filing

September 16, 1994.

Take notice that on August 18, 1994, National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation (National Fuel Gas Supply) filed a limited application proposing to resolve issues concerning the rates and cost allocation methods under which National Fuel Gas Supply will provide gathering service through June 30, 2005. National Fuel Gas Supply states that its proposal is intended to comply with Commission directives in Docket No. RS92-21-000.

Any person desiring to be heard should file a motion to intervene with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, in accordance with § 385.214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such motions should be filed on or before September 26, 1994. Parties wishing to file comments to this proposal must file such comments on or before September 26, 1994. Reply comments are due on October 3, 1994. Parties that have already intervened in Docket No. RP94-367-000, or that have already filed comments and reply comments to the proposal need not refile. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the public reference room.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 94-23449 Filed 9-21-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ER94-1632-000]

Northeast Utilities Service Co.; Notice of Filing

September 16, 1994.

Take notice that on August 22, 1994, Northeast Utilities Service Company (NUSCO) tendered for filing, on behalf of the Connecticut Light and Power Company, Western Massachusetts Electric Company, Holyoke Water Power Company (including Holyoke Power and Electric Company), and Public Service Company of New Hampshire (together, the "NU System Companies"), a refund report and a Notice of Termination for the System Power Sales Agreement (Agreement) with Hudson Light and Power Department (Hudson). The System Power Sales Agreement was terminated in accordance with its terms.

NUSCO requests that the Agreement be terminated as of November 1, 1994. NUSCO states that copies of the rate schedule have been mailed or delivered to the parties to the Agreement and the affected state utility commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before September 27, 1994. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding.

Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 94-23434 Filed 9-21-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP94-764-000]

Southern Natural Gas Co.; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

September 16, 1994.

Take notice that on September 8, 1994, Southern Natural Gas Company (Southern), P.O. Box 2563, Birmingham, Alabama 35202-2563 filed in Docket No. CP94-764-000 a request pursuant to § 157.205 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act for authorization to abandon certain regulating facilities and to change the operation of an existing delivery point, under its blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-406-000, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Southern states that it is currently authorized to sell and deliver natural gas to DeKalb-Cherokee Counties Gas District (DeKalb-Cherokee) at a delivery point located near Milepost 1.724 on Southern's 6-inch Hokes Bluff Line in Etowah County, Alabama. Southern indicates that it currently delivers gas to DeKalb-Cherokee at the meter station at a contract delivery pressure of 100 psig. DeKalb-Cherokee has requested, and Southern has agreed to deliver gas at mainline pressure to DaKalb-Cherokee at the meter station. According to Southern, it must abandon two 3-inch regulators and appurtenant facilities at the meter station and make certain piping modifications in order to deliver gas at mainline pressure.

Southern states that the abandonment of facilities proposed in this application will not result in any termination of service, and that said changes will not result in a change in the total firm transportation demand delivered to DeKalb-Cherokee. Further, Southern states that (1) the revised delivery pressure will not cause a detriment or disadvantage to its other customers; (2) deliveries at the revised delivery pressure will have no impact on Southern's peak day and annual deliveries; and (3) the abandonment and change are not prohibited by any existing tariff of Southern.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of

the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to § 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the date after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 94-23432 Filed 9-21-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ER94-1394-000]

Valero Power Services Co.; Notice of Issuance of Order

September 16, 1994.

On June 22, 1994 and July 18, 1994, Valero Power Services Company (Valero) submitted for filing a rate schedule under which Valero will engage in wholesale electric power and energy transactions as a marketer. Valero also requested waiver of various Commission regulations. In particular, Valero requested that the Commission grant blanket approval under 18 CFR Part 34 of all future issuances of securities and assumptions of liability by Valero.

On August 24, 1994, pursuant to delegated authority, the Director, Division of Applications, Office of Electric Power Regulation, granted requests for blanket approval under Part 34, subject to the following:

Within thirty days of the date of the order, any person desiring to be heard or to protest the blanket approval of issuances of securities or assumptions of liability by Valero should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214).

Absent a request for hearing within this period, Valero is authorized to issue securities and assume obligations or liabilities as a guarantor, endorser, surety, or otherwise in respect of any security of another person; provided that such issuance or assumption is for some lawful object within the corporate

purposes of the applicant, and compatible with the public interests, and is reasonably necessary or appropriate for such purposes.

The Commission reserves the right to require a further showing that neither public nor private interests will be adversely affected by continued approval of Valero's issuances of securities or assumptions of liability.

Notice is hereby given that the deadline for filing motions to intervene or protests, as set forth above, is September 23, 1994.

Copies of the full text of the order are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, Room 3308, 941 North Capitol Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20426.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 94-23448 Filed 9-21-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ER94-1621-000]

Virginia Electric and Power Co.; Notice of Filing

September 16, 1994.

Take notice that on September 2, 1994, Virginia Electric and Power Company (Virginia Power) tendered for filing a Service Agreement between PSI Energy, Inc. (PSI) and Virginia Power, dated July 1, 1994, under the Power Sales Tariff to Eligible Purchasers dated May 27, 1994. Under the tendered Service Agreement, Virginia Power agrees to provide services to PSI under the rates, terms and conditions of the Power Sales Tariff as agreed by the parties pursuant to the terms of the applicable Service Schedules included in the Power Sales Tariff.

Copies of the filing were served upon the Virginia State Corporation Commission and the North Carolina Utilities Commission.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before September 30, 1994. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the

Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 94-23433 Filed 9-21-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[AMS-FRL-5075-1]

California State Motor Vehicle Pollution Control Standards; Waiver of Federal Preemption Notice of Decision

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice Regarding Waiver of Federal Preemption.

SUMMARY: EPA is granting California a waiver of Federal preemption pursuant to section 209(b) of the Clean Air Act, as amended, beginning in the 1995 model year to enforce amendments to its motor vehicle pollution control program which establish the following: New emission standards which are phased in over model years 1995 and 1996 (50% and 100%); and certification and compliance test procedures and durability requirements. These standards and procedures are applicable to light-duty trucks (LDTs), medium-duty vehicles and engines (MDVs), and light heavy-duty vehicles and engines (LHDVs) for the control of hydrocarbon (HC), carbon monoxide (CO), oxides of nitrogen (NO_x), and particulate matter (PM) emissions. The standards apply to gasoline, gaseous-fueled, diesel and methanol-fueled and flexible-fueled vehicles and engines (hereinafter "MDV" request).

California further amended the MDV standards addressed in this waiver during the establishment of California's Low Emission Vehicle (LEV) Program. In January of 1993 EPA granted California waiver of Federal preemption for the LDV component of the LEV Program. Action on the MDV component of the LEV Program was postponed until the earlier MDV standards which are the subject of today's waiver were acted upon. The MDV component of the LEV Program will be addressed soon and documents relative to its disposition may be found in Docket A-91-71.

ADDRESSES: The Agency's decision as well as all documents relied upon in reaching that decision, including those submitted by the California Air Resources Board, are available for public inspection in the Air and Radiation Docket and Information

Center in Docket A-91-55 during the working hours of 8 a.m. to 4 p.m. at the Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), Room M-1500, Waterside Mall, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460. Copies of the decision can be obtained from EPA's Manufacturers Operations Division by contacting Leila Holmes Cook, as noted below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Leila Holmes Cook, Attorney/Advisor, Manufacturers Operations Division (6405), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street SW., Washington, DC 20460. Telephone: (202) 233-9252.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: I have decided to grant California a waiver of Federal preemption pursuant to section 209(b) of the Clean Air Act, as amended (Act), 42 U.S.C. 7543(b), for amendments to its motor vehicle pollution control program which establish the following: (1) New emission standards which are phased in over model years 1995 and 1996 (50% and 100%); and (2) certification and compliance test procedures and durability requirements. These standards and procedures are applicable to light-duty trucks (LDTs), medium-duty vehicles and engines (MDVs), and light heavy-duty vehicles and engines (LHDVs) for the control of hydrocarbon (HC), carbon monoxide (CO), oxides of nitrogen (NO_x), and particulate matter (PM) emissions. The standards apply to gasoline, gaseous-fueled, diesel and methanol-fueled vehicles and engines (hereinafter "MDV" request).

This decision addresses two related waiver requests. In the first, by letter dated July 15, 1991, the California Air Resources Board (CARB) submitted to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) a request for waiver of Federal preemption to enforce new medium-duty vehicle standard amendments to its motor vehicle pollution control program.¹

The second waiver request addresses dedicated-methanol and flexible-fuel passenger cars, LDTs, MDVs and heavy-duty engines for model years 1993 and 1994.² On August 14, 1992, EPA waived application of section 209(a) of the Act for California's amendments to its exhaust and evaporative emission standards and test procedures that made those standards and procedures applicable to dedicated-methanol and flexible-fuel passenger cars, light-duty

trucks, medium-duty vehicles, and heavy-duty engines, for model years 1993 and 1994 (Methanol waiver).³ The methanol regulations addressed in the August 14, 1992 decision had the effect of applying to dedicated methanol and flexible-fuel vehicles standards previously applicable only to otto- and diesel-cycle vehicles and engines. Because the underlying new medium-duty vehicle standards for the 1995 and later model years had not been the subject of a waiver decision, EPA postponed its decision regarding a waiver for such standards as applied to methanol and flexible-fueled light-duty trucks, medium-duty vehicles and engines and light-heavy-duty vehicles and engines for the 1995 and later model years until these underlying standards were acted upon.⁴ Both the underlying standards and the methanol standards are the subject of this waiver decision.

On the basis of the record before me,⁵ I cannot make the findings required for a denial of a waiver under section 209(b)(1) of the Act and applicable case law with respect to the amendments to California's motor vehicle pollution control program. Therefore, in today's MDV decision, EPA is granting to the State of California a waiver of application of section 209(a) of the Act for the California MDV standards as applied to dedicated-methanol and flexible-fuel otto-cycle and diesel-cycle medium-duty vehicles and engines for model year 1995 and following.⁶

On January 9, 1992 EPA published a notice of opportunity for a public hearing and a request for written comments concerning California's request.⁷ EPA received no request for a hearing. EPA received joint written comments from the Engine

Manufacturers Association (EMA) and the Motor Vehicle Manufacturers Association of America, Inc. (MVMA). Consequently, this determination is based on written submissions by CARB, the written comments submitted in response to the above-mentioned notice and all other relevant information.⁸

Section 209(b) of the Act provides that, if certain criteria are met, the Administrator shall waive Federal preemption for California to enforce new motor vehicle emission standards and accompanying enforcement procedures. The criteria include consideration of whether California arbitrarily and capriciously determined that its standards are, in the aggregate, at least as protective of public health and welfare as the applicable Federal standards; whether California needs State standards to meet compelling and extraordinary conditions; and whether California's amendments are consistent with section 202(a) of the Act.

CARB determined that these standards and accompanying enforcement procedures do not cause California's standards, in the aggregate, to be less protective of public health and welfare than the applicable Federal standards. Information presented to me by parties opposing California's waiver request did not demonstrate that California arbitrarily or capriciously reached this protectiveness determination. Therefore, I cannot find California's determination to be arbitrary or capricious.

CARB has continually demonstrated the existence of compelling and extraordinary conditions justifying the need for its own motor vehicle pollution control program, which includes the subject standards and procedures. No information has been submitted to demonstrate that California no longer has a compelling and extraordinary need for its own program. Therefore, I agree that California continues to have compelling and extraordinary conditions which require its own program, and, thus, I cannot deny the waiver on the basis of the lack of compelling and extraordinary conditions.

CARB has submitted information that the requirements of its emission standards and test procedures are technologically feasible and present no inconsistency with Federal requirements and are, therefore, consistent with section 202(a) of the Act. Information presented to me by parties opposing California's waiver request did not satisfy the burden of

³ 57 Fed. Reg. 38503 (August 25, 1992); EPA Air Docket A-90-29.

⁴ *Id.*

⁵ The record is located in Air Docket A-90-29.

⁶ The amended regulations are Title 13, California Code of Regulations (CCR) section 1956.8 and the incorporated "California Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 1985 and Subsequent Model Heavy-Duty Diesel-Powered Engines and Vehicles," "California Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 1987 and Subsequent Model Heavy-Duty Gasoline-Powered Engines and Vehicles"; 13 CCR 1960.1 and the incorporated "California Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 1988 and Subsequent Model Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles"; 13 CCR 1965 and the incorporated "California Motor Vehicle Emission Control Label Specification"; 13 CCR 1976 and the incorporated "California Evaporative Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 1978 and Subsequent Model Liquefied Petroleum Gas- or Gasoline-Powered Motor Vehicles"; and 13 CCR 2290 and the incorporated "Specifications for Fill Pipes and Openings of Motor Vehicle Fuel Tanks."

⁷ 57 FR 909 (January 9, 1991).

⁸ This information is contained in Docket A-91-55.

¹ See letter from James D. Boyd, Executive Officer, CARB, to William K. Reilly, Administrator, EPA, dated July 15, 1991.

² See Methanol Waiver Decision Document at Air Docket A-90-29, page 10, footnote 14 and page 32, footnote 60.

persuading EPA that the standards are not technologically feasible within the available lead time, considering costs. Thus, I cannot find that California's amendments will be inconsistent with section 202(a) of the Act. Accordingly, I hereby grant the waiver requested by California.

My decision will affect not only persons in California but also the manufacturers outside the State who must comply with California's requirements in order to produce motor vehicles for sale in California. For this reason, I hereby determine and find that this is a final action of national applicability.

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Act, judicial review of this final action may be sought only in the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. Petitions for review must be filed by November 21, 1994. Under section 307(b)(2) of the Act, judicial review of this final action may not be obtained in subsequent enforcement proceedings.

As with past waiver decisions, this action is not a rule as defined by Executive Order 12866. Therefore, it is exempt from review by the Office of Management and Budget as required for rules and regulations by Executive Order 12866.

In addition, this action is not a rule as defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601(2). Therefore, EPA has not prepared a supporting regulatory flexibility analysis addressing the impact of this action on small business entities.

Finally, the Administrator has delegated the authority to make determinations regarding waivers of Federal preemption under section 209(b) of the Act to the Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation.

Dated: August 26, 1994.

Robert Brenner,

Acting Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 94-23497 Filed 9-21-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

[FRL-5077-3]

Acid Rain Program: Draft Nitrogen Oxides Compliance Plans

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of draft compliance plans and public comment period.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is issuing for comment 5-year nitrogen oxides (NO_x) compliance plans, which amend

previously issued final Phase I Acid Rain Permits, for 19 units at 6 utility plants, in accordance with the Acid Rain Program regulations (40 CFR part 76).

DATES: Comments on draft NO_x compliance plans must be received no later than October 24, 1994 or the publication date of a similar notice in local newspapers, whichever is later.

ADDRESSES: Administrative Records. The administrative record for draft NO_x compliance plans, except information protected as confidential, may be viewed during normal operating hours at EPA Region 5, Ralph H. Metcalfe Federal Bldg., 77 West Jackson Blvd., Chicago, IL 60604.

Comments. Send comments, requests for public hearings, and requests to receive notice of future actions to EPA Region 5 (A-18J), Air and Radiation Division, Attn: David Kee, Director (address above).

Submit all comments in duplicate and identify the NO_x compliance plan to which the comments apply, the commenter's name, address, and telephone number, and the commenter's interest in the matter and affiliation, if any, to the owners and operators of all units covered by the plan. All timely comments will be considered, except comments on aspects of the permit other than the NO_x compliance plan and comments not relevant to the compliance plan.

Hearings. To request a public hearing, state the issues proposed to be raised in the hearing. EPA may schedule a hearing if EPA finds that it will contribute to the decision-making process by clarifying significant issues affecting a NO_x compliance plan.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Genevieve Nearmyer, (312) 353-4761.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA proposes to approve compliance plans for 1995-1999 under which units will comply with the applicable emission limitations for NO_x under 40 CFR 76.5 (referred to as "standard emission limitation") or other indicated compliance options for the following utility plants in Indiana:

Cayuga: Three averaging plans, one for each calendar year 1997-1999 for units 1 and 2; for each year, each unit's actual annual average emission rate for NO_x shall not exceed the alternative contemporaneous annual emission limitation of 0.45 lbs/MMBtu. The other units designated in the plans are Gibson units 1, 2, 3, and 4, Wabash River units 2, 3, 5, 6, and R Gallagher units 1, 2, 3, and 4. Units 1 and 2 are not required to meet the emission limit until 1997 pursuant to 40 CFR 72.42. The

designated representative is Joseph W. Messick, Jr.

F B Culley: Standard emission limitation of 0.50 lbs/MMBtu for units 2 and 3 for 1997-1999. Units 2 and 3 are not required to meet the emission limit until 1997 pursuant to 40 CFR 72.42. The designated representative is J. Gordon Hurst.

Frank E Ratts: Standard emission limitation of 0.50 lbs/MMBtu for units 1SG1 and 2SG1 for 1995-1999. The designated representative is J. Steven Smith.

Gibson: Five averaging plans, one for each calendar year 1995-1996 for units 1, 2, and 3, and one for each calendar year 1997-1999 for units 1, 2, 3, and 4; for each year, each unit's actual annual average emission rate for NO_x shall not exceed the alternative contemporaneous annual emission limitation of 0.50 lbs/MMBtu. The other units designated in the plans are, for 1995-1996, Wabash unit 3, and for 1997-1999, Cayuga units 1 and 2, Wabash River units 2, 3, 5, and 6, and R Gallagher units 1, 2, 3, and 4. Unit 4 is not required to meet the emission limit until 1997 pursuant to 40 CFR 72.42. The designated representative is Joseph W. Messick, Jr.

R Gallagher: Three averaging plans, one for each calendar year 1997-1999 for units 1, 2, 3, and 4; for each year, each unit's actual annual average emission rate for NO_x shall not exceed the alternative contemporaneous annual emission limitation of 0.50 lbs/MMBtu. The other units designated in the plans are Cayuga units 1 and 2, Gibson units 1, 2, 3, and 4, and Wabash River units 2, 3, 5, and 6. Units 1, 2, 3, and 4 are not required to meet the emission limit until 1997 pursuant to 40 CFR 72.42. The designated representative is Joseph W. Messick, Jr.

Wabash River: Standard emission limitation of 0.50 lbs/MMBtu for unit 1 for 1997-1999; five averaging plans, one for each calendar year 1995-1996 for unit 3, and one for each calendar year 1997-1999 for units 2, 3, 5, and 6; the actual annual average emission rates for NO_x shall not exceed the alternative contemporaneous annual emission limitations of 0.50 lbs/MMBtu for units 2, 3, and 5 and 0.45 lbs/MMBtu for unit 6. The other units designated in the plans are, for 1995-1996, Gibson units 1, 2, and 3, and for 1997-1999, Cayuga units 1 and 2, Gibson units 1, 2, 3, and 4, and R Gallagher units 1, 2, 3, and 4. Units 1, 2, 5, and 6 are not required to meet the emission limit until 1997 pursuant to 40 CFR 72.42. The designated representative is Joseph W. Messick, Jr.

Dated: September 12, 1994.

Brian J. McLean,

Director, Acid Rain Division, Office of
Atmospheric Programs, Office of Air and
Radiation.

[FR Doc. 94-23498 Filed 9-21-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

[OPPTS-00161A; FRL-4913-4]

Chemical Use Inventory (TRI Phase 3); Public Meeting; Change of Time and Location

AGENCY: Environmental Protection
Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA is changing the time and place of the public meeting described in the *Federal Register* notice of August 8, 1994 (59 FR 40362), in order to accommodate more speakers. The meeting is intended to explore issues related to the possible expansion of the Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) to include use-related data elements such as those associated with material accounting. It is part of an EPA effort to discuss the creation of a "Chemical Use Inventory" (CUI).

DATES: The date has not changed, as the meeting will still be held on September 28, 1994. However, the meeting will now start at 9 a.m.

ADDRESSES: The location has been changed from the EPA auditorium to the conference room (one floor below the lobby) at Waterside Towers Apartments, 907 6th St., SW., Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mike McDonnell, Environmental Assistance Division (7408), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460 or telephone: (202) 260-1477.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA will finalize the list of speakers by close of business on September 23, 1994. Those interested in speaking should contact the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection.

Dated: September 19, 1994.

Susan B. Hazen,

Director, Environmental Assistance Division,
Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics.

[FR Doc. 94-23597 Filed 9-21-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

First Fidelity Bancorporation, et al.; Acquisitions of Companies Engaged in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The organizations listed in this notice have applied under § 225.23(a)(2) or (f) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.23(a)(2) or (f)) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.21(a)) to acquire or control voting securities or assets of a company engaged in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, such activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Each application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated for the application or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than October 17, 1994.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia (Thomas K. Desch, Vice President) 100 North 6th Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19105:

1. *First Fidelity Bancorporation*, Lawrenceville, New Jersey, and Banco Santander, S.A., Santander, Spain; to acquire Baltimore Bancorp, Baltimore, Maryland, and thereby indirectly acquire The Bank of Baltimore Interim Federal Savings Bank, Baltimore, Maryland, and thereby engage in operation of a savings bank, pursuant to

§ 225.25(b)(9) of the Board's Regulation Y.

In connection with this application, Baltimore Bancorp, Baltimore, Maryland, proposes to acquire The Bank of Baltimore Interim Federal Savings Bank, Baltimore, Maryland, and thereby engage in the operation of a savings association, pursuant to § 225.25(b)(9) of the Board's Regulation Y.

Currently, Baltimore Bancorp is a bank holding company whose sole banking subsidiary is The Bank of Baltimore, Baltimore, Maryland, a commercial bank. Prior to First Fidelity's acquisition of Baltimore Bancorp, The Bank of Baltimore Interim Federal Savings Bank will be chartered and acquired by Baltimore Bancorp and The Bank of Baltimore will be merged with and into The Bank of Baltimore Interim Federal Savings Bank, with The Bank of Baltimore Interim Federal Savings Bank as the surviving institution.

First Fidelity also proposes to acquire the following related nonbank subsidiaries and to engage in the respective nonbanking activities:

(1) To acquire Atlantic Independent Insurance Agency, Inc., Bel Air, Maryland, and thereby act as insurance agent for the sale of credit-related life, health and accident insurance, pursuant to § 225.25(b)(8)(i) of the Board's Regulation Y.

(2) To acquire Atlantic Residential Mortgage Corporation, Baltimore, Maryland, and thereby engage in originating, purchasing, packaging, selling and servicing residential mortgage loans for the secondary market, itself and the bank and provide fully secured financing to other mortgage banking companies to assist them in the acquisition of servicing rights in FHLMC, FNMA, and GNMA residential mortgages, pursuant to §§ 225.25(b)(1) and (b)(5) of the Board's Regulation Y.

(3) To acquire Baltimore Bancorp Investment Services, Inc., Baltimore, Maryland, and thereby act as a discount broker of listed and OTC equities, corporate bonds, government bonds, municipal bonds, mutual funds and options, pursuant to §§ 225.25(b)(15) and (b)(16) of the Board's Regulation Y.

(4) To acquire Baltimore Bancorp Leasing & Financial, Inc., Baltimore, Maryland, and thereby act as an equipment finance and leasing company specializing in transactions with established corporations, with respect to plant equipment, computers, office furniture and equipment, medical equipment and other tangible personal property, pursuant to §§ 225.25(b)(1) and (b)(5).